

Attachment B

153437

Hazardous Waste Quantity

In the fall of 1984, IEPA bid an interim clean-up project at the site. Immediately prior to construction initiation IEPA was alerted to the possibility of waste containing drums existing in the clean-up area at very shallow depth. Because such drums would have significantly affected the clean-up action and for safety considerations, IEPA did not proceed with the clean-up action and deemed further investigation was required. The documented waste quantities for the interim clean-up action were bid Estimated how as follows: The quantities do not include the suspected drums.

- 1. Two "oil pools" estimated at 51 cubic yards Analyses attached.
- 2. Debris in and heavily contaminated soil underlying oil pools doesn't estimated at 153 cubic vards.
- 3. Surficial drums estimated at 40 cubic yards. are droms full? Do they have have foll? Do they have have have a solidified spill material with petimated.
- Analyses attached. how estimated ? where !

The Ron St. John report documents the existence and effects of a previous truck washing impoundment adjacent to Dead Creek. The estimated waste volume disposed of in the impoundment equals 819 cubic yards. The hazardous quantity estimation and adjacent well sampling analysis are attached. waste?

The quantities described above are documentable estimates of waste quantity. Their total allows a score of 6 to be assigned for Hazardous Waste Quantity. Other known areas of hazardous waste exist at the site but have not been quantified. They include:

- 1. The creekbed itself with high levels of organic compounds and metals.
- Suspected drums buried in large quantities in the vicinity of the site.
- Contaminated water in the creek between Queeny Avenue and Judith Lane and the pond north of Judith Lane.
- Highly contaminated soil north of Queeny Avenue on Cerro Copper property.

In short, it is IEPA's belief that the quantified waste volumes cited above represent a very small percentage of the waste volume existing at the site and that the revision of Hazardous Waste Quantity to 6 is conservative.

SKD:mgg0642e/16

Cahokia / Dend Creek Sauget Cahakia, (St. Clair Con Person(a) in charge of the facility: N/A & property under contro multiple private owners Name of Reviewer: General description of the facility: (For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.) have received maste including hazardous Since Ma7 Scores: SM = 29.235_{GW} = 5.65 S_{SW} = 10.07S₂ = 49.23) SFE = 52.5 Spc = .50.0

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

- Bibliography
 Air data not provided
 many references needed
- waste quantity is 8 questionable

Cahokia / Dead Creek
Location: Sauget Cabokia, Ilinois (St. Clair County)
EPA Region:
Person(s) in charge of the facility: N/A: property under control of
multiple private ownerships.
Name of Reviewer: 5.Dunn Date: 3/21/85
General description of the facility: (For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the
facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
Unlined creekbed and surrounding area. Creekbed
has received direct industrial discharges and
surrounding area has been used for direct
dumping of materials. Landfills adjacent to
creek have received waste including hazardous
waste Since 1927.
0
Scores: S _M = 29,23S _{gw} = 5.65 S _{sw} = 10.07S ₈ = 49,23) S _{FE} = 52,5
Spc = 50.0

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

				- •	alt	r nou	te work and				Ground Water Route Work Sheet								
	Rating Factor	ng Factor Assigned Value (Circle One)			Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)											
1	Observed Release	•	0			(45)	1	45	45	3.1								
	if observed releas	_					_=	•											
	If observed releas	e is give	n a score o	oi C	, pr	OC 86	to line [2]												
2	Route Characteris										3.2								
	Depth to Aquifer Concern	r of	0	1	2	3		2		6									
	Net Precipitation	ı	0	1	2	3		1		3									
	Permeability of t		0	1	2	3		1		3									
	Unsaturated Zo Physical State	110	0	1	2	3		1		3									
			Total Rou	te (Chai	racter	stics Score			15									
3	Containment		0	1	2	3		1		3	3.3								
4	Waste Characteris Toxicity/Persiste Hazardous Waste Quantity	ence	0	3 1	6 2	9 12 3 4	15 (B) 5 (6) 7	1 8 1	18	18	3.4								
			Total Was	te (Cha	racter	istics Score		24	26									
5 1	Targets Ground Water Us Distance to Near Well/Population Served	est) 12 12 24	1 16 30) 2 6 18 32	3 8 20 35	10	3 1	3	9 40	3.5								
	9		Tot	ai 1	Targ	ets S	core		3	49									
			1 × 4 2 × 3		<u>5</u>	× E]		3, 2 40	57,330									
7 .	Divide line 6 by	57,3 30 a	and multipl	y b	y 10	00		Sgw=	3,240 5.6	5									

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Surface Water Route Work Sheet								
	Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)			
1	Observed Release	0 45	1	45	45	4.1			
		en a value of 45, proceed to line 4. en a value of 0, proceed to line 2.							
2	Route Characteristics					4.2			
	Facility Slope and Interv	ening 0 1 2 3	1		3				
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfail	0 1 2 3	1		3				
	Distance to Nearest Sur Water	face 0 1 2 3	2		6				
	Physical State	0 1 2 3	1		3				
		Total Route Characteristics Score		_	15				
3	Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	4.3			
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 6 9 12 15 (8) 0 1 2 3 4 5 (6) 7 8	1	18	18	4.4			
		Total Waste Characteristics Score		24	26				
3	Targets Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensitive Environment Securities Served (Distance)	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	3 2	6	9 6 40	4.5			
	Population Served/Distate to Water Intake Downstream	100 4 8 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40			40				
		Total Targets Score		6	5 5	<u>,</u>			
_	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply	1 x 4 x 5 2 x 3 x 4 x 5		6,480	64,350				
7	Divide line 6 by 64,350	and multiply by 100	S _{sw} -	10.0	7				

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Air Route Work Sheet								
	Rating Factor			ned Value rcle One)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1	Observed Release		0	45		1	45	45	5.1
	Date and Location:	3/23	182	Dead (ر دو	واد ر	creek	bed	
	Sampling Protocol:	טאא	pli, 01	/A, explos	inel	٠٤٢,	Ogmo	des,	rad.met
	If line 1 is 0, the								
2	Waste Characteristic Reactivity and	:8	0(1)2 3		1	1	3	5.2
	Incompatibility Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity		0 1 0 1	2 3 4 5 8	7 8	3 1	9	9 8	·
			Total Waste	Characteristics S	core		16	20	
3	Targets Population Within 4-Mile Radius	•) 0 9 (21) 24	12 15 18 27 30		1	aı	30	5.3
<u> </u>	Distance to Sensitive Environment	ve		2 .3		2	0	6	
	Land Use		0 1	23		1	3	3	
			Total	Targets Score			24	39	
4	Multiply 1 x 2	× 3					17,280	35,100	
5	Divide line 4 by	35,100	and multiply t	oy 100		Sa-	49.	23	

FIGURE 9 AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	s	s²
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	5.65	31.92
Surface Water Route Score (S _{SW})	10.07	101.40
Air Route Score (Sa)	49.23	2,423.59
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		2,556.91
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		50.57
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		29,23

FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S_M

Fire and Explosion Work Sheet								
Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)		
Containment		1 3	1	3	3	7.1		
Waste Characterist Direct Evidence ignitability Reactivity incompatibility Hazardous Waste Quantity		0 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 1 1 1	33116	3 3 3 3 8	7.2		
		Total Waste Characteristics Score		14	20			
Distance to Neare Population Distance to Neare Building Distance to Sensi Environment Land Use Population Within 2-Mile Radius Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	est tive	0 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 4 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	320355	5 3 3 5 5	7.3		
		Total Targets Score		18	24			
Multiply 1 x 2	× 3				1,440			
5 Divide line 4 by	Multiply 1 x 2 x 3 756 1,440 5 Divide line 4 by 1,440 and multiply by 100 SFE = 52.5							

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

Direct Contact Work Sheet							
	Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
1	Observed Incident	0 45	1	45	45	8.1	
	if line 1 is 45, proceed to if line 1 is 0, proceed to	<u> </u>					
2	Accessibility	0 1 2 3	1		3	8.2	
3	Containment	0 15	1	1	15	8.3	
<u>a</u>	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0 1 2 3	5	15	15	8.4	
3	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius	0 1 2 3 🕢 5	4	16	20	8.5	
	Distance to a Critical Habitat	1 2 3	4	0	12		
		Total Targets Score		16	32		
<u></u> 6]	If line 1 is 45, multiply	1 × 4 × 5 2 × 3 × 4 × 5		10,800	21,600		
_	If line 11 is 0, multiply [ਹ , ਕਿ , ਕਾ , ਜ਼ਾ		10,80d	1		

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

Documentation Records for Hazardous Ranking System

Facility Name: Cahokia/Dead Creek

Location: Sauget, Illinois (St. Clair County)

- A. Groundwater Route (SGW = 5.65)
 - 1. Observed Release Score 45
 - a. Contaminants deteted offsite: PCB's, chloroaniline, dichlorobenzene, copper, manganese, lead, cyclohexane, chlorophenol, aliphatic hdyrocarbons, silver, nickel, arsenic, cadmium, phosphorous.
 - b. Documented by Attachment A
 - 2. Route Characteristics -- N/A: observed release
 - 3. Containment -- N/A: observed release
 - 4. Waste Characteristics -- Score 24
 - a. Toxicity/Persistence -- Score 18

	Toxicity	Persistence
PCB's	3	3
Chloroaniline	3	1
Dichlorobenzene	2	2
Cyclohexane	2	2

- b. Hazardous Waste Quality -- Score 6
 -- in excess of 1,084 cubic yards documented by Attachment B
- 5. Targets -- Score 3
 - a. Groundwater Use -- Score 1 -- industrial use only
 - b. Distance to Nearest Will/Population
 Served -- Score 0
 -- no use for potable water

- B. Surface Water Route (SSW = 10.07)
 - 1. Observed Release -- Score 45
 - a. contaminants deposited directly in water
 - b. documented in Ron St. John Report (04/81)
 - 2. Route Characteristics
 - -- N/A: observed release
 - 3. Containment
 - -- N/A: observed release
 - 4. Waste Characteristics -- Score 24
 - -- refer to Groundwater Route
 - 5. Targets -- Score <u>6</u>
 - a. Surface water use -- Score 6
 - -- Creek flows through residential areas and is used for recreational purposes, particularly by children.
 - b. Distance to a Sensitive Environmental -- N/A
 - c. Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream -- N/A
- C. Air Route (SA = 49.23)
 - 1. Observed Release -- Score 45
 - a. Date and Location: March 23, 1982 -- In creek.
 - b. Sampling Protocol: Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) and HNU, Photo-Ionizer with 10.2 ev and 11.7 ev lamps. Also explosimeter, radiation survey meter, O₂ indicator
 - c. Documentation provided by Attachment D

- 2. Waste Characteristics -- Score 16
 - a. Reactivity and Compatibility -- Score 1
 - -- Phosphorous, Arsenic
 - b. Toxicity -- Score 9
 - -- PCB's
 - -- Dichlorophenol 3
 - -- Chloroaniline 3
 - c. Hazardous Waste Quantity -- Score 6
- 3. Targets -- Score 24
 - a. Population within 4-mile radius -- Score 21
 - -- 6,100 people within 0.5-1.0 mile of site
 - b. Distance to Sensitive Environment -- Score 0
 - -- greater than 2 miles
 - c. Land Use -- Score 3
 - -- agricultural, residential, and industrial areas within 0.25 mile
- D. Direct Contact and Fire and Explosion Work Sheets documented by Attachment E.

SD:dks/585e, 81-83

Documentation Records for Hazardous Ranking System

Facility Name: Cahokia/Dead Creek

Location:

Sauget, Illinois (St. Clair County)

What is hurizons are they in?

A. Groundwater Route (SGW = 5.65)

1. Observed Release - Score 45

- Contaminants deteted offsite: PCB's, chloroaniline, dichlorobenzene, copper, manganese, lead, cyclohexane, chlorophenol, aliphatic hdyrocarbons, silver, nickel, arsenic, cadmium, phosphorous.
- Documented by Attachment A
- Route Characteristics -- N/A: observed release
- Containment 3.

-- N/A: observed release

- Waste Characteristics -- Score 24 4.
 - Toxicity/Persistence -- Score 18

	Toxicity	Persistence	
PCB's	3	3	
Chloroaniline	3	ľ	1
Dichlorobenzene	2	2	noted
Cyclohexane	2	2	, .
h Hazandous Wasto Oual	ity Score	6 ().x5	honable affachin

Question Hazardous Waste Quality -- Score 6 b. -- in excess of 1,084 cubic yards documented by Attachment B

5. Targets -- Score 3

> Groundwater Use -- Score 1 a. where's Relevence? -- industrial use only

Ь. Distance to Nearest Will/Population Served -- Score 0 -- no use for potable water

- Surface Water Route (SSW = 10.07)
 - 1. Observed Release -- Score 45
 - contaminants deposited directly in water washing of frucks
 - documented in Ron St. John Report (04/81)
 - 2. Route Characteristics
 - -- N/A: observed release
 - 3. Containment
 - -- N/A: observed release
 - not the same Waste Characteristics -- Score 24 4.
 - -- refer to Groundwater Route
 - 5. Targets -- Score 6
 - Surface water use -- Score 6

a Reference?

- -- Creek flows through residential areas and is used for recreational purposes, particularly by children.
- Distance to a Sensitive Environmental -- N/A b.
- Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream -- N/A c.
- C. Air Route (SA = 49.23)
 - Observed Release -- Score 45 1.
 - Date and Location: March 23, 1982 -- In creek.
 - Sampling Protocol: Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) and HNU, b. Photo-Ionizer with 10.2 ev and 11.7 ev lamps. Also explosimeter, radiation survey meter, 02 indicator
 - Documentation provided by Attachment D attachment D C. has no sampling data!

- Waste Characteristics -- Score 16 2.
 - Reactivity and Compatibility -- Score 1 a.
 - -- Phosphorous, Arsenic

Référence?.

Reference?

- b. Toxicity -- Score 9
 - -- PCB's
- -- Dichlorophenol 3
- -- Chloroaniline 3
- Hazardous Waste Quantity -- Score 6 c.
- Targets -- Score 24 3.
 - a.
- -- 6,100 people within 0.5-1.0 mile of site Received.
 - Distance to Sensitive Environment -- Score O b.
 - -- greater than 2 miles
 - Land Use -- Score 3 c.
 - -- agricultural, residential, and industrial areas within 0.25
- D. Direct Contact and Fire and Explosion Work Sheets documented by Attachment E.

SD:dks/585e, 81-83